PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY Rec'd T/PTO 01 AUG 2005

PCT

10.	ļ		101	
cf. form PCT/ISA/220			10/544114 RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	cf form PCT/ISA/210 (p. 2)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference cf. form PCT/ISA/220	•	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/DE2004/000087	International filing date 01/22/2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01/30/2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) of G06F11/36	or both national classificat	tion and IPC		
Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH				
This opinion contains indications rela	ating to the following item	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the op	inion			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishr	nent of opinion with regar	rd to novelty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
<u> </u>		ia to novelly, invalur	o stop and moderate approachies	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and environment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
citations and explanations supporting st Box No. VI Certain documents cited		ar statement		
Box No. VII Certain defects		cation		
Box No. VIII Certain observe				
Box No. VIII Certain observe	ations on the international	т аррисацоп		
2. FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") excepted the chosen IPEA has n	pt that this does not ap otified the Internation	be considered to be a written opinion of the oply where the applicant chooses an Authority all Bureau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written	
If this opinion is, as provided above, a written reply together, where appro PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration	priate, with amendments,	before the expiration	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	A/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/		Authorized officer		
realite and maining address of the ISAV		Albert, J		
Facsimile No.		Telephone No		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Во	k No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	claime	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: Dee of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material in written format in computer readable form
	c. tin	contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:
		·

Box No.	II	Priority
1.	Con	following document has not yet been furnished: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). sequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been blished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	inva	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found lid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is sidered to be the relevant date.
3. Addit	tional	observations, if necessary:
Alb	ert, 、	



Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially le have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
	claims Nos.
becau	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.



Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not complied with for the following reasons:
 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts the parts relating to claims Nos.



Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
. Statement Novelty (N)	Claims	3,4,9-14,16-17 1-2,5-8,15	YES	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims		NO YES	
monaro sup (10)	Claims	1-17	NO NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-17		
. Citations and explanations:				
cf. supplementary page				

Во	x No. VI	Certain documents cit	ed		
1.	Certain	published documents (Ru	les 43bis.1 and 70.10)		
		Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
		r atent ivo.	(aayimonini year)	(uay/month/year)	(aay/month/year)
2.	Non-wri	itten disclosures (Rules 4:	3bis.1 and 70.9)		Date of written disclosure
		Kind of non-written disc		on-written disclosure y/month/year)	referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
		·			



Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
The following	defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
į	
	•



he following observations on the apported by the description, are n	e clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are finade:



Supplemental Box				
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (January 2004)